

APPLICATION NO.

09/695,332

24959

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

FILING DATE

10/25/2000

01/25/2005

7590

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPT

**PPG INDUSTRIES INC** 

PITTSBURGH, PA 15272

ONE PPG PLACE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PAPER NUMBER

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.

Michael O. Okoroafor 1555P1 1488

EXAMINER

SERGENT, RABON A

ART UNIT

DATE MAILED: 01/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				, y
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
,		09/695,332	OKOROAFOR ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Rabon Sergent	1711	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stareply received by the Office later than three months after the may ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thi od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	1.
Status				
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) To Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	his action is non-final. vance except for formal mat	•	3
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-119 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-119 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Exami The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to he drawing(s) be held in abeya ection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). I(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	i).
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)(	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume  2. Certified copies of the priority docume  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume  application from the International Bure  See the attached detailed Office action for a life	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have beer eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  received in this National Stage	
Attachmen	• •	<b>∆</b> □	Summan /DTO 442\	
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Infor	ee of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/695,332 Page 2

Art Unit: 1711

1. Claim 50 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The first line of claim 50 is incomplete; therefore, the claim is incapable of being understood.

2. Claims 1-119 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Applicants have failed to provide support for claiming that the polymerizate is non-elastomeric. The examiner has reviewed the specification and finds no support for the amendments to independent claims 1, 35, and 69. Furthermore, it follows that applicants have failed to adequately define what is meant by "non-elastomeric" and have failed to delineate how or what properties are governed by the language. It is noted that applicants have disclosed at page 22, line 13 that flexibilizing additives may be incorporated within the composition; therefore, it is logical to conclude that applicants envisioned the compositions having a degree of flexibility or elasticity. This position serves to reinforce the position that the meaning of applicants' amended language is unclear, since "non-elastomeric" excludes all elastomeric properties, such as flexibility or elasticity.

Application/Control Number: 09/695,332 Page 3

Art Unit: 1711

3. Claims 1-119 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

All polythiourethanes have some degree of flexibility or elasticity; however, applicants have failed to provide enablement for the production of polythiourethanes lacking all elastomeric properties. By teaching that flexibilizing additives may be utilized within the composition at page 22 of the specification, applicants have in fact taught how to enhance elastomeric properties, rather than eliminate them.

- 4. The prior art rejection has been withdrawn in view of applicants' arguments and amendment.
- 5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 1711

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to R. Sergent at telephone number (571) 272-1079.

RABON SERGENT PRIMARY EXAMINER

R. Sergent January 21, 2005